



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/597,454

01/30/2007

Keiichiro Oishi

MIKI0003

1329

24203 7590 10/14/2008

GRIFFIN & SZIPL, PC

SUITE PH-1

2300 NINTH STREET, SOUTH

ARLINGTON, VA 22204

EXAMINER

COHEN, STEFANIE J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

4162

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/14/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/597,454	<b>Applicant(s)</b> OISHI, KEIICHIRO	
	<b>Examiner</b> STEFANIE COHEN	<b>Art Unit</b> 4162	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) STEFANIE COHEN. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Wesley Ashton. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 06 October 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
           c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
     If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 26-27; 33; 43-47; 84-85.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 2002/0159912; US Patents 5288458, 4110132, 4515204.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion of claims not clearly included in the rejection. Claims 43-47, although addressed on page 11 of the non- final rejection, these claims were not initially rejected in the opening statement. Claims 26-27 have the same rationale as claims 136-137 except they are dependent on claim 1. Claim 33 is rejected under Parikh in view of Oisha. Claims 84-85 have the same rationale as claims 86-87 except they are dependent on claim 51.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Stefanie Cohen	/Jennifer McNeil/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4162
----------------	---